

Tales of Huffman

Zvi Lotker Paul Vitanyi

Abstract

We study the new problem of Huffman-like codes subject to individual restrictions on the code-word lengths of a subset of the source words. These are prefix codes with minimal expected code-word length for a random source where additionally the code-word lengths of a subset of the source words is prescribed, possibly differently for every such source word. Based on a structural analysis of properties of optimal solutions, we construct an efficient dynamic programming algorithm for this problem, and for an integer programming problem that may be of independent interest.

I. INTRODUCTION

We are given a random variable X with outcomes in a set of $\chi = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of source words with associated probabilities $P(X = x_i) = p_i$ with $p_1 \geq p_2, \dots, \geq p_n > 0$, and a code word subset of $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^*$, the set of finite binary strings. Let $l(y)$ denote the *length* (number of bits) in $y \in \Omega$. Let $I = \{i : x_i \in \chi\}$.

The *optimal source coding problem* is to find a 1:1 mapping $c : \chi \rightarrow \Omega$, satisfying $c(x_i)$ is not a proper prefix of $c(x_j)$ for every pair $x_i, x_j \in \chi$, such that $\mathcal{L}_c(X) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i l(c(x_i))$ is minimal among all such mappings. Codes satisfying the prefix condition are called *prefix codes* or *instantaneous codes*.

This problem is solved theoretically up to 1 bit by Shannon's Noiseless Coding Theorem [13], and exactly and practically by a well-known greedy algorithm due to Huffman [10], which for n source words runs in $O(n)$ steps, or $O(n \log n)$ steps if the p_i 's are not sorted in advance. If c_0 achieves the desired minimum, then denote $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}_{c_0}(X)$.

We study the far more general question of length restrictions on the individual code words, possibly different for each code word. This problem has not been considered before. The primary problem in this setting is the problem with equality lengths restrictions, where we want to find the minimal expected code-word length under the restriction of individually prescribed code-word lengths for a subset of the code words. Apart from being a natural question it is practically motivated by the desire to save some part of the code tree for future code words, or restrict the lengths of the code words for certain source words to particular values. For example, in micro-processor design we may want to reserve code-word lengths for future extensions of the instruction set. No polynomial time algorithm was known for this problem. Initially, we suspected it to be NP-hard. Here, we show an $O(n^3)$ dynamic programming algorithm. This method allows us to solve an integer programming problem

CWI email: lotker@cwi.nl

CWI email: Paul.Vitanyi@cwi.nl

that may be of independent interest. The key idea is that among the optimal solutions, some necessarily exhibit structure that makes the problem tractable. This enables us to develop an algorithm that finds those solutions among the many possible solutions that otherwise exhibit no such structure. Formally, we are given *length restrictions* $\{l_i : i \in I\}$, where the l_i 's are positive integer values, or the *dummy* $\perp$, and we require that the coding mapping c satisfies $l(c(x_i)) = l_i$ for every $i \in I$ with $l_i \neq \perp$. For example the length restrictions $1, 2, \perp, \dots, \perp$ mean that we have to set $l(c(x_1)) = 1$ and $l(c(x_2)) = 2$, say $c(x_1) = 1$ and $c(x_2) = 01$. Then, for the remaining x_i 's the coding mapping c can use only code words that start with 00. We assume that the length restrictions satisfy (1) below, the Kraft's inequality [8],

$$\sum_{i \in I} 2^{-l_i} \leq 1, \quad (1)$$

where we take $l_i = \infty$ for $l_i = \perp$, since otherwise there does not exist a prefix code as required.

Related Work: In [9], [5], [12], [3], [7] a variant of this question is studied by bounding the maximal code-word length, which results in a certain redundancy (non-optimality) of the resulting codes. In [2] both the maximal code-word length and minimal code-word length are prescribed.

II. NOISELESS CODING UNDER EQUALITY RESTRICTIONS

Shannon's Noiseless Coding Theorem [13] states that if $H(X) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i \log 1/p_i$ is the entropy of the source, then $H(X) \leq \mathcal{L}(X) \leq H(X) + 1$. The standard proof exhibits the Shannon-Fano code achieving this optimum by encoding x_i by a code word $c(x_i)$ of length $l(c(x_i)) = \lceil \log 1/p_i \rceil$ ($i \in I$). Ignoring the upper rounding to integer values for the moment, we see that $\mathcal{L}(X) = H(X)$ for a code that codes x_i by a code word of length $\log 1/p_i$. This suggests the following approach.

Suppose we are given length restrictions $\{l_i : i \in I\}$. Let $L = \{i \in I : l_i \neq \perp\}$ be the set of equality length restrictions, and let $\mathcal{L}(X, L)$ be the minimal expected code-word length under these restrictions given the probabilities. Similar to Shannon's noiseless coding theorem, we aim to bound the minimal expected code-word length under equality restrictions below by an entropy equivalent $H(X, L) \leq \mathcal{L}(X, L) \leq H(X, L) + 1$ where $H(X, L)$ corresponds to the best possible coding with real-valued code-word lengths. Define

$$q_i = 2^{-l_i} \text{ for } i \in L. \quad (2)$$

If we define q_i 's also for the x_i 's with $i \in I - L$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} q_i = 1$, then altogether we obtain a new probability assignment q_i for every x_i ($i \in I$), which has a corresponding Shannon-Fano code with code lengths $l(c(x_i)) = \log 1/q_i$ for the x_i 's. Moreover, with respect to the probabilities induced by the original random variable X , and simultaneously respecting the length restrictions, the minimum expected code word length of such a q -based Shannon-Fano code is obtained by a partition of

$$Q = 1 - \sum_{i \in L} 2^{-l_i} \quad (3)$$

into q_i 's ($i \in I - L$) such that $\sum_{i \in I} p_i \log 1/q_i$ is minimized. Clearly, the part $\sum_{i \in L} p_i \log 1/q_i$ cannot be improved. Thus we need to minimize $S = \sum_{i \in I - L} p_i \log 1/q_i$ over all partitions of $Q = \sum_{i \in I - L} q_i$ into q_i 's.

The partition that reaches the minimum S does not change by linear scaling of the p_i 's. Hence we can argue as follows. Consider $S' = (1 - Q) \log 1/(1 - Q) + \sum_{i \in I-L} q_i \log 1/q_i$ such that S' is the entropy of the set of probabilities $\{1 - Q, q_i : i \in I - L\}$. Denote

$$P = \sum_{i \in I-L} p_i, \quad (4)$$

and define

$$q_i^0 = \frac{Q}{P} p_i \text{ for } i \in I - L. \quad (5)$$

Then, both S and S' with the $q_i = q_i^0$ ($i \in I - L$) reaches their minimum for this partition of Q .

LEMMA 1: Assume the above notation with L, P, Q determined as above. The minimal expected prefix code length under given length restrictions is achieved by encoding x_i with code length $\log 1/((Q/P)p_i)$ for all $i \in I - L$.

Let us compare the optimal expected code length under length constraints with the unconstrained case. The difference in code length is

$$\sum_{i \in I} p_i \log 1/q_i - \sum_{i \in I} p_i \log 1/p_i = \sum_{i \in I} p_i \log p_i/q_i,$$

the Kulback-Leibler divergence $D(p \parallel q)$ between the p -distribution and the q -distribution [6]. The KL-divergence is always nonnegative, and is 0 only if $p_i = q_i$ for all $i \in I$. For the optimum q -distribution determined in Lemma 1 for the index set $I - L$ we can compute it explicitly:

$$\sum_{i \in I-L} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{(Q/P)p_i} = P \log \frac{P}{Q}.$$

LEMMA 2: Given a random source X with probabilities $P(X = x_i) = p_i$ ($i \in I$), length restrictions $\{l_i : i \in I\}$ and with L, P, Q determined as above. Then, the minimum expected constrained code length is

$$H(X, L) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i \log 1/p_i + \sum_{i \in L} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{2^{-l_i}} + P \log \frac{P}{Q},$$

which equals the minimal expected unconstrained code word length $\sum_{i \in I} p_i \log 1/p_i$ only when $q_i = p_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Thus, the redundancy induced by the equality length restrictions is

$$H(X, L) - H(X) = \sum_{i \in L} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{2^{-l_i}} + P \log \frac{P}{Q}.$$

Note that, just like in the unconstrained case we can find a prefix code with code word lengths $\lceil \log 1/p_i \rceil$, showing that the minimal expected integer prefix-code word length is in between the entropy $H(X)$ and $H(X) + 1$, the same holds for the constrained case. There, we constructed a new set of probabilities with entropy $H(X, L)$, and for this set of probabilities the minimal expected integer prefix-code word length is in between the entropy $H(X, L)$ and $H(X, L) + 1$ by the usual argument.

EXAMPLE 1: Let us look at an example with probabilities $X = (0.4, 0.2, 0.2, 0.1, 0.1)$ and length restrictions $L = (\perp, 2, 2, 2, \perp)$. The entropy $H(X) \approx 2.12$ bits, and the, non-unique, Huffman code, without the length

restrictions, is 0, 10, 110, 1110, 1111, which shows the minimal integer code-word length of $\mathcal{L}(X) = 2.2$ bits, which is ≈ 0.08 bits above the noninteger lower bound $H(X)$. The redundancy excess induced by the equality length restrictions is $H(X, L) - H(X) \approx 0.24$ bits, which shows that the integer minimal average code-word length $\mathcal{L}(X, L)$ is in between $H(X, L) \approx 2.36$ bits and $H(X, L) + 1 \approx 3.36$ bits. The actual optimal equality restricted code, given by Algorithm A below, is 111, 10, 01, 00, 110 with $\mathcal{L}(X, L) = 2.5$, which is ≈ 0.14 bits above the noninteger lower bound $H(X, L)$. $\diamond$

III. OPTIMAL CODE UNDER EQUALITY RESTRICTIONS

Above we have ignored the fact that real Shannon-Fano codes have code-word length $\lceil \log 1/p_i \rceil$ rather than $\log 1/p_i$. This is the reason that $H(X) \leq \mathcal{L}(X) \leq H(X) + 1$ in the unconstrained case, leaving a slack of 1 bit for the minimal expected code word length. The Huffman code is an on-line method to obtain a code achieving $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Gallager [4] has proved an upper bound on the redundancy of a Huffman code, $\mathcal{L}(X) - H(X)$ of $p_n + \log[(2 \log e)/e]$ which is approximately $p_n + 0.086$, where p_n is the probability of the least likely source message. This is slightly improved in [5]. Our task below is to find a Huffman-like method to achieve the minimal expected code-word length $\mathcal{L}(X, L)$ in the length-constrained setting. Our goal is to come as close to the optimum in Lemmas 1, 2 as is possible.

A. Free Stubs

Input is the set of source words $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with probabilities $p_1, \dots, p_n$ and length restrictions $l_1, \dots, l_n$ that should be satisfied by the target prefix code c in the sense that $l(c(x_i)) = l_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ except for the i 's with $l_i = \perp$ for which i 's there are no code word length restrictions. Let $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $L = \{i : l_i \neq \perp\}$, and $P = I - L$. Denote

$$M = |P| = |\{p_i : i \in I - L\}|$$

For convenience in notation, assume that the source words $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are indexed such that $L = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_k$. If $l_i = l_{i+1}$ for some i we set $L := L - \{i, i+1\}$; $L := L \cup \{(i, i+1)\}$; $k := k - 1$; $l_{i,i+1} := l_i - 1$. We repeat this process until there are no equal lengths left, and finish with $l'_1 < l'_2 < \dots < l'_{k'}$ with l'_i 's the resulting lengths. That is, we just iteratively merge two nodes which are at the same level in the tree. Therefore, the problem is reduced to considering a code tree with forbidden code-word lengths l'_i ($1 \leq i \leq k'$), the largest forbidden length $l'_{k'}$ leading to a forbidden node with a free sibling node at the end of a path at the same length from the root. That is, a code word tree with a single available free node at each level h , satisfying $1 \leq h \leq l'_{k'}$ and $h \neq l'_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k' - 1$). Each h corresponds to a path of length h leading from the root to a node $n(h)$ corresponding to a code-word prefix that is as yet unused. We call such a node (and the path leading to it or the corresponding code-word prefix) a *free stub*. Denote the set of levels of these free stubs $n(h)$ by $\mathcal{H}$, and let $m = |\mathcal{H}|$. Without loss of generality,

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h_k : 1 \leq k \leq m\}$$

with $h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_m$. We now have to find a code-word tree using only the free stubs, such that the expected code-word length is minimized. We can do this in the straightforward manner, dividing the probabilities in P among the m free stubs, and computing the minimal expected code-word length tree for the probabilities for every stub for each of those divisions, and determining the division giving the least expected code-word length. We can use Huffman's construction since it doesn't depend on the probabilities summing to 1. There are m^M possible divisions, so this process involves computing m^M Huffman trees—exponentially many unless $m = 1$ which is the unrestricted common Huffman case.

B. Reduction

Let $level(p)$ denote the number of edges in a path from the leaf node labeled by p to the root. So $level(root) = 0$. Then, if a tree is optimal (has least expected code-word length), then

$$\text{if } level(p) < level(q) \text{ then } p \geq q, \quad (6)$$

since otherwise the expected code-word length can be decreased by interchanging p and q . If T is a prefix-code word tree for the source words under the given length restrictions, then the subtree T_i is the subtree of T with the free stub $n(h_i)$ as its root ($1 \leq i \leq m$).

LEMMA 3: There is a tree T with minimal expected code-word length such that if $i < j$ then $p \geq q$ for all p in T_i and q in T_j .

Proof: Suppose the contrary: for every optimal tree T , there are $p < q$ with p in T_i and q in T_j for some $i < j$. Fix any such T . By (6), $level(p) \geq level(q)$. Let $T_{p,q}$ be the subtree with root at $level(q)$ containing the leaf p . Then we can interchange q and $T_{p,q}$ without changing the expected code-word length represented by the tree. This idea leads to the following sorting procedure: Repeat until impossible: find a least level probability, and if there are more than one of them a largest one, that violates the condition in the lemma, and interchange with a subtree as above. Since no probability changes level the expected code-word length stays invariant. In each operation a least level violating probability moves to a lower indexed subtree, and the subtree it is interchanged with does not introduce new violating probabilities at that level. The transformation is iteratively made from the top to the bottom of the tree. This process must terminate with an overall tree satisfying the lemma, since there are only a given number of probabilities and indexed subtrees. ■

For ease of notation we now assume that the source words $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are indexed such that the unrestricted source words are indexed $x_1, \dots, x_M$ with probabilities $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_M$. The fact that we just have to look for a partition of the ordered list of probabilities into m segments, rather than considering every choice of m subsets of the set of probabilities, considerably reduces the running time to find an optimal prefix code. Consider the ordered list $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_M$. Partition it into m contiguous segments, possibly empty, which gives $\binom{M+m-1}{m-1}$ partitions. We can reduce this number by noting that in an optimal tree the free stubs are at different heights, and therefore each of them must have a tree of at least two elements until they have empty trees from some level down. Otherwise the tree is not optimal since it can be improved by rearranging the probabilities.

Therefore, we can restrict attention to partitions into $\leq m$ segments, which contain at least two elements. There are at most $\binom{M-m}{m}$ such partitions. For each choice, for each set of probabilities corresponding to a i th segment construct the Huffman tree and attach it to the i th free stub, and compute the expected code-word length for that choice. A tree associated with the least expected codeword length is an optimal tree. Thus, we have to construct at most $\binom{M-m}{m}$ Huffman trees, which is polynomial in M for fixed m , and also polynomial in M for either m or $M - m$ bounded by a constant.

C. Polynomial Solution

From each partition of $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_M$ into m segments (possibly empty) consisting of probabilities P_k for the k th segment, we can construct trees $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m$ with T_k having the probabilities of P_k as the leaves, and free stub $n(h_k)$ as the root. Clearly, if T is an overall tree with minimum expected code-word length, then each subtree with the free stub $n(h_k)$ as root considered in isolation, achieves minimal expected code-word length over the probabilities involved. We want to find the optimal partition with a minimum amount of work. Note that, from some $s \leq m$ on, every subtree T_k with $s < k \leq m$ may be empty.

For every tree T , not necessarily optimal, let L_T denote the expected code-word length for the probabilities in P according to tree T . Define $H[i, j, k]$ to be the *minimal* expected code-word length of the leaves of a tree $T[i, j, k]$ constructed from probabilities $p_i, \dots, p_j$ ($i \leq j$) and with a singlefold path from the root of T to the free stub node $n(h_k)$, and subsequently branching out to encode the source words (probabilities) concerned. Then,

$$H[i, j, k] = \sum_{i \leq r \leq j} p_r (h_k + l(p_r)) \quad (7)$$

each probability p_r labeling a leaf at the end of a path of length $h_k + l(p_r)$ from the root of T , the first part of length h_k to the free stub $n(h_k)$, and the second part of length $l(p_r)$ from $n(h_k)$ to the leaf concerned. For a partition of the probability index sequence $1, \dots, M$ into m (possibly empty) contiguous segments $[i_k, j_k]$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$), inducing subtrees $T[i_k, j_k, k]$ using free stubs h_k accounting for expected code-word length $H[i_k, j_k, k]$, we obtain a total expected code-word length for the overall tree T of

$$L_T = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} H[i_k, j_k, k].$$

Let us now consider the expected code word length of a tree T' which consists of tree T with a subset of subtrees T_k removed and the corresponding probabilities from the overall probability set P . Removing subtree T_k is equivalent to removing the corresponding free stub $n(h_k)$, and turning it into a length restriction.

LEMMA 4: Let T , P and T' be defined as above. Let T has minimal total code-word length for P then the total code-word length of every T' as above cannot be improved by another partition of the probabilities involved among its subtrees.

Proof: (If) If we could improve the total code word length of T by a redistribution of probabilities among the subtrees attached to the free stubs then some T' would not have minimal total code-word length before this redistribution.

(Only if) If we could improve the total code-word length of any T' by redistribution of the probabilities among its subtrees attached to the free stubs involved, then we could also do this in the overall tree T and improve its overall total code-word length, contradicting minimality. ■

COROLLARY 1: If tree T has minimal total code-word length, then every tree T' obtained from it as above has minimal total code word length.

This suggests a way to construct an optimal T by examining every k -partition corresponding to a candidate set of k subtrees (for $k := 1, \dots, m$), of every initial segment $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_j$ of the probability sequence (for $j := 1, \dots, M$). The minimal expected code-word length tree for the k th partition element is attached to the k th free stub. The crucial observation is that by Corollary 1 the minimal total code word length for probabilities $P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_{k+1}$ using free stub levels $h_1, \dots, h_{k+1}$ is reached for a binary split in the ordered probabilities and free stubs involved, consisting of the minimal total code-word length solution for probabilities $P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_k$ using stub levels $h_1, \dots, h_k$ and probabilities P_{k+1} using free stub level h_{k+1} . Computing the optimal minimum code-word lengths of initial probability segments and initial free stub level segments in increasing order, this way we find each successive optimum by using previously computed optima. This type of computation of a global optimum is called dynamic programming. The following Algorithm A gives the precise computation. At termination of the algorithm, the array $F[j, k]$ will contain the minimal expected code word length of a tree $T[1, j, k]$ using the largest j ($j \leq M$) probabilities $p_1, \dots, p_j$, optimally divided into subtrees attached to the least level k ($k \leq m$) free stubs. Thus, $T[1, j, k]$ contains subtrees $T[j_i, j_{i+1}, i+1]$ ($0 \leq i < k$, $j_0 = 1 \leq j_i \leq j_{i+1} \leq j_k$) each such subtree with $n(h_{i+1})$ as the root ($0 \leq i < k$). Thus, on termination $F[M, m]$ contains the minimal expected code-word length of the desired optimal tree T with subtrees $T_1^0, \dots, T_m^0$ with T_k^0 rooted at the root of T and using free stubs $n(h_1), \dots, n(h_m)$, respectively. (Note, T_k^0 consists of the previously defined subtree T_k , rooted at free stub $n(h_k)$, plus the path from $n(h_k)$ to the root of T .) We can reconstruct these subtrees, and hence the desired code words, from the values of the array s on termination. Denote $s_{m+1} = M$, $s_m = s(M, m)$, $s_k = s(s_{k+1}, k)$ ($m \geq k \geq 2$), and $s_1 = 0$. Then, $T_k^0 = T[s_k + 1, s_{k+1}, k]$ and $F[s_{k+1}, k]$ equals the expected code-word length of the source words encoded in subtrees $T_1^0, \dots, T_k^0$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$). Thus, the array values of s give the desired partition of the ordered probability sequence $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_M$, and we can trivially construct the tree T and the code-words achieving minimal expected code-word length by Huffman's construction on the subtrees.

Algorithm A

Input: Given n source words with ordered probabilities and equality length restrictions, first check whether (1) is satisfied with $\perp = \infty$, otherwise return “impossible” and quit. Compute free stub levels $h_1 < \dots < h_m$. probabilities $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_M$ as above.

Step 1: Compute $H[i, j, k]$ as in (7), for all i, j and k ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq M$, $1 \leq k \leq m$).

Step 2: Set $F[j, 1] := H[1, j, 1]$ ($1 \leq j \leq M$).

Step 3: for $k := 2, \dots, m$ **do**

for $j := 1, \dots, M$ **do**

$F[j, k] := \min_{i: 1 \leq i \leq j} \{F[i, k-1] + H[i+1, j, k]\};$

$s[j, k] := i_0$, with i_0 the least i achieving the minimum

end of Algorithm

THEOREM 1: Given n source words with probabilities and equality length restrictions, Algorithm A constructs a prefix code with optimal expected code word length in $O(n^3)$ steps.

Proof: The correctness of the algorithm follows from Corollary 1 and the discussion following it.

The complexity of computing the $h_1 < \dots < h_m$ and $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_M$ is $O(n \log n)$. Step 1 of the algorithm takes $O(M^3) + O(m)$ steps. First, compute for every i, j the quantities $P[i, j] := \sum_{i=r}^j l(p_r)$, $L[i, j] := \sum_{i=r}^j p_r l(r)$. There are $O(M^2)$ such quantities and each computation takes $O(M)$ steps. Second, for every k compute $H[i, j, k] = L[i, j] + h_k P[i, j]$. There are m such quantities and each computation takes $O(1)$ steps. Step 2 of the Algorithm takes $O(M)$ steps. Step 3 of the Algorithm involves a outer loop of length $m-1$, an inner loop of length M , and inside the nesting the determining of the minimum of $\leq M$ possibilities; overall $O(mM^2)$ steps. The running time of the algorithm is therefore $O(n \log n) + O(M^3) + O(mM^2)$ steps. Since $M, m \leq n$ this shows the stated running time. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Y.S. Abu-Mostafa and R.J. McEliece, Maximal codeword lengths in Huffman codes, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 39(2000), 129–134.
- [2] M.B. Baer, Twenty (or so) questions: bounded-length Huffman coding. arXiv:cs.IT/0602085, 2006.
- [3] D. Baron, A.C. Singer, On the cost of worst-case coding length constraints, *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, 47:11(2001), 3088–3090.
- [4] R.G. Gallager, Variations on a theme by Huffman, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 24:6(1978), 668–674.
- [5] R.M. Capocelli, A. De Santis, On the redundancy of optimal codes of limited word length, *IEEE Trans. Informat. Th.*, 38:2(1992), 439–445.
- [6] T.M. Cover and J.A. Thomas. *Elements of Information Theory*. Wiley & Sons, 1991.
- [7] M. Karpinski, Y. Nekrich, Algorithms for construction of optimal or almost-optimal length-restricted codes, Proc. Data Compression Conf. (DCC’05), 2005.
- [8] L.G. Kraft. A device for quantizing, grouping and coding amplitude modulated pulses. Master’s thesis, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, M.I.T., Cambridge, Mass., 1949.
- [9] L. Larmore, D. Hirschberg, A fast algorithm for optimal length-limited Huffman codes, *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, 37:3(1990), 464–473.
- [10] D.A. Huffman, A method for construction of minimum-redundancy codes, *Proceedings IRE*, 40:9(1952), 1098–1101.
- [11] G.O.H. Katona and T.O.H. Nemetz, Huffman codes and self-information, *IEEE Trans. Informat. Theory*, 22:3(1976), 337–340.
- [12] A. Moffat, A. Turpin, J. Katajainen, Space-efficient construction of optimal prefix codes, *Proc. Data compression Conf.*, Snowbird, UT, March 1995, 192–201.
- [13] C.E. Shannon. The mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Tech. J.*, 27(1948), 379–423, 623–656.